

DRAFT MARCH 2, 2010

**The Impact of Obesity on LTC Disability and Mortality: Population Estimates from the
National Long Term Care Survey**

Eric Stallard, A.S.A., M.A.A.A., F.C.A.

Research Professor, Department of Sociology

Associate Director, Center for Population Health and Aging

Duke Population Research Institute

Duke University, Durham, NC

Acknowledgements: This research was funded by the National Institute on Aging through grants 5R01AG028259, 5R01AG030612, 1R01AG032319, and 5P01AG008761. Funding for the 2004 NLTCS was provided by the National Institute on Aging through Grant U01-AG07198. David L. Straley provided programming support.

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To estimate the impact of obesity on LTC disability and mortality above age 65 using the 2004 NLTCs with LTC disability based on the HIPAA ADL and CI Triggers. **Methods:** Self-reported height and weight yielded three measures of BMI: current, age 50, and one year prior; with standard cut-points <18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25.0–29.9, 30.0–34.9, and 35+. Relative risks were assessed using odds-ratios and combinations using the Mantel-Haenzel procedure. **Results:** High current BMI is associated with increased current and lifetime disability among elderly persons; but not with increased mortality or a reduction in residual life expectancy among elderly persons. Obesity is associated with an increase in lifetime disability among elderly persons on the order of 50–60%. **Conclusions:** The impact of BMI varies over age in a manner consistent with a complex multistage/multi-path disablement process involving, e.g., diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, as intermediate stages.

INTRODUCTION

This paper presents estimates of the impact of obesity on LTC disability among the U.S. elderly population based on data from the 2004 National Long Term Care Survey (NLTC), with the criteria for LTC disability based on the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996 ADL and CI Triggers which are widely used in determining eligibility for LTC insurance benefits and the tax-treatment of LTC services (IRS, 1997). The resulting estimates are compared with published estimates from the Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey (MCBS; Lakdawalla et al., 2005) and the Asset and Health Dynamics Among the Oldest Old (AHEAD; Reynolds et al., 2005).

METHODS

The NLTC is a multi-round panel survey that covered both the community and institutionalized elderly population using nationally representative sampling of the Medicare enrollment files over the period 1982–2004 (with Medicare covering 97% of persons aged 65+; see Manton et al., 2006). Cross-sectional analysis of the NLTC was enabled through supplemental sampling of newly eligible Medicare enrollees turning age 65 between survey rounds.

This study used the 2004 round of the NLTC. The HIPAA ADL Trigger was implemented using six ADLs (bathing, continence, dressing, eating, toileting, and transferring); the trigger requires standby or active personal assistance for at least two of the six ADLs (Stallard, 2008).

The disability classification based on the HIPAA ADL Trigger is more stringent than the standard disability classification reported from the NLTC which typically includes IADLs and equipment-based ADL limitations (Manton et al., 2006). The higher threshold helps to reduce

the rate of “false negatives” resulting from the screening procedures used in the NLTCS to select respondents for the detailed interview (see Wolf et al., 2005).

The HIPAA CI Trigger targets persons who require substantial supervision due to severe cognitive impairment. The trigger was implemented in two parts. First, because the need for substantial supervision was not directly assessed in the NLTCS, this requirement was implemented indirectly by restricting the trigger to respondents who met (1) the NLTCS criteria for any ADL or IADL disability at the screener interview (which then qualified them for the detailed interview), or (2) the NLTCS criteria for IADL disability or indoor mobility impairment at the detailed interview, or (3) the HIPAA criteria for at least one ADL disability at the detailed interview.

Second, the classification of severe cognitive impairment in the detailed interview was based on the Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire (SPMSQ) with severe cognitive impairment defined as three or more errors on the 10 questions, or affirmation that the respondent had dementia, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), or other cognition problems sufficient to prevent completion of the SPMSQ with a passing score of 0–2 errors. The cutoff at three errors is consistent with actuarial practice for LTC insurance models (Stallard and Yee, 2000).

This implementation effectively assumed that respondents who did not need help with any of nine IADLs (e.g., taking medicine, managing money, using a telephone) or seven ADLs (the six listed above, and indoor mobility) would not meet the requirement for substantial supervision. These restrictions are consistent with reports that (1) AD patients with IADL and ADL impairments were classified in levels 2–5 of the 5-level AD Dependency Scale (Stern et al., 1994) and (2) declines in IADL functioning for AD patients typically occur over a 12-year

period beginning about one-third of the way through an 18-year course of the disease with declines in ADL functioning beginning about two years later (Stern et al., 1996).

Obesity was assessed using self-reported height and weight in the NLTCS detailed community interview to construct three measures of BMI: current, age 50, and one year prior. Standard BMI cut-points were used to define underweight (<18.5), normal weight (18.5–24.9), overweight non-obese (25.0–29.9), class I obese (30.0–34.9), and class II–III obese (35+).

Mortality outcomes were based on deaths in linked Medicare vital statistics data occurring within one year after the 2004 NLTCS.

Sample weights were employed as described in Manton et al. (2006); standard errors (s.e.'s) of weighted estimators of age-specific odds ratios were based on rescaled sample weights using procedures described in Potthoff et al. (1992). These procedures yielded an estimated design effect of 1.187 for the full survey and 1.323 for the detailed community interview.

Age-adjusted odds ratios (AAOR's) were estimated using weighted averages of age-specific odds ratios based on the Mantel-Haenzel procedure (Fleiss, 1981) with the normal weight group serving as the reference group for all other weight groups. The variances of the age-adjusted odds ratios were approximated using sums of products of (1) the variances of the age-specific odds ratios times (2) the squares of the weights used in the weighted averages. The age-adjusted odds ratios were computed separately for males and females and also for both sexes combined (designated as unisex).

Odds ratios are widely used in retrospective epidemiological studies, which matches the conditions for two of the three BMI measures. A useful property is that the application of odds ratios in retrospective epidemiological studies is valid if the number of persons in the control group represents a different fraction of the eligible control population than the corresponding

fraction for the target group. This property can help to partially resolve an issue in the current analysis that the assessment of BMI in the NLTCs was restricted to respondents to the detailed community interview (DCI).

The DCI had a complex set of rules determining who received it. In 2004, the DCI covered a sample representing 30.4% of the U.S. elderly community population, of whom 53.4% met the NLTCs screen-in criteria and 46.6% did not. The complementary 69.6% of the NLTCs sample that did not receive the DCI consisted solely of persons who did not meet the NLTCs screen-in criteria at any time up to and including 2004. However, among those persons who did not meet the NLTCs screen-in criteria in 2004, 16.9% were given the DCI anyway either because they met the screen-in criteria earlier or because they were part of a special group of “healthy” respondents. The result was that the respondents to the 2004 DCI included persons who did not meet the NLTCs screen-in criteria, but this group was an incomplete sample that excluded 83.1% of such persons.

The exclusion of such a large fraction of persons opens the possibility that the control groups in the 2004 DCI sample may be biased, thereby limiting the applicability of the results to the general U.S. community population. In this case, the proportion of the healthiest BMI classes in the excluded sample who, except for such exclusion, would be eligible for inclusion in the control group would be higher than the corresponding proportion in the included sample, implying that the effects detected in this study would be attenuated.

This effect can be evaluated by reweighting the 16.9% of persons who did not meet the NLTCs screen-in criteria in 2004 but did receive the DCI to represent the entire sample of persons who did not meet the NLTCs screen-in criteria in 2004. This reweighting was done

separately by sex and age (65–74, 75–84, 85+) using reweighting factors that ranged from 3.73 to 6.82.

These factors raised the DCI design effect from 1.323 to 1.945, with corresponding increases in the reweighted standard errors. The actual sample in the DCI for the analyses reported in Tables 1–6 consisted of 5,201 respondents; using the procedures in Potthoff et al. (1992), the computations of the standard errors assumed “effective sample sizes” of 3,931 prior to the reweighting and 2,674 persons after the reweighting.

Disabled life expectancies (DLE) based on the HIPAA criteria can be estimated by applying Sullivan’s (1971) method to the 2004 period life tables used by the Social Security Administration (SSA, 2008).

RESULTS

Tables 1–3 present the age-adjusted odds ratios (AAOR) for the disability classification defined by the HIPAA ADL and CI Triggers. This is a severe level of disability making the individual eligible for LTC benefits under most LTC insurance policies and for tax benefits with respect to the ability to deduct expenditures for LTC services incurred while meeting these criteria.

Each table presents the sex-specific and unisex AAOR’s for five standard BMI groupings based on one of the three measures of BMI: current BMI level (Table 1), BMI level one year prior to the 2004 NLTCs (Table 2), and BMI level at age 50 (Table 3). The reference group for the AAOR is the normal weight group (18.5–24.9).

The lowest AAOR’s for current BMI were for the overweight non-obese groups (25.0–29.9), one level higher than normal. The AAOR’s exhibited a U-shaped pattern with increasing values for BMI groups with BMI levels both above and below those of the minimum overweight non-

obese group. These results were replicated for the unisex and female AAOR's using the reweighted data; the minimum reweighted AAOR for males was for the class I obese group (30.0–34.9).

The lowest AAOR's for BMI levels one year prior to the 2004 NLTCs were for the unisex and female overweight non-obese groups and the male class I obese group.

The most notable difference between the results in Tables 1 and 2 was the higher AAOR's for the underweight groups (<18.5) for current BMI.

The lowest AAOR's for BMI levels at age 50 were for the normal weight groups. The increases in AAOR's for the overweight non-obese groups were small and generally close in size to their estimated standard errors. The increases in the AAOR's for the class I and class II–III obese groups were large but the class II–III obese groups consistently exhibited the highest values.

As in Tables 1 and 2, the underweight groups (<18.5) also exhibited high AAOR's for BMI at age 50.

The most notable differences between Tables 1–2 and Table 3 were the reversals in relative risk for the overweight non-obese and class I obese groups based on the timing of the BMI assessment. High BMI at age 50 was predictive of higher than normal disability at older ages whereas high BMI at older ages was predictive of lower than normal disability, where “normal disability” was the level of disability in the normal weight group.

Tables 4–6 present the AAOR's for dying within one year after the 2004 NLTCs. The formats of the tables parallel those of Tables 1–3, with the results for current BMI level shown in Table 4, BMI level one year prior to the 2004 NLTCs in Table 5, and BMI level at age 50 in Table 6.

Tables 4 and 5 indicate that the AAOR's for death for the overweight non-obese, class I obese, and class II–III obese groups were lower than for the normal weight groups. Thus the excess disability for the class II–III obese groups (Tables 1 and 2) was not associated with excess deaths.

Table 6 indicates that the AAOR's for death for the overweight non-obese, class I obese, and class II–III obese groups were higher than for the normal weight groups, although the excess risk was at least twice the size of the standard errors only for the unisex and female class II–III obese groups.

Table 7 abstracts related results from Reynolds et al. (2005) that imply that the relative risk of disability for obese vs. non-obese elderly persons aged 70 or above was 59% for males and 52% for females, using data from the 1993–1998 AHEAD Study, with disability defined as 1+ ADL limitations or institutionalization, and the absolute risks representing the expected fractions of the remaining lifetime at age 70 spent disabled.

Table 8 abstracts related results from Lakdawalla et al. (2005) that show that the risks of disability across BMI groups exhibited a U-shaped pattern for two thresholds of disability (1+ ADLs; 3+ ADLs), using BMI categories that are closer to those in the current study, using data from the 1992–1998 MCBS.

DISCUSSION

High current BMI is not associated with increased mortality or a reduction in residual life expectancy among elderly persons. High current BMI is associated with increased current and lifetime disability among elderly persons. Obesity is associated with an increase in lifetime disability among elderly persons on the order of 50–60% above that which would occur if normal weight were maintained.

The impact of BMI varies over age in a manner consistent with a complex multistage/multi-path disablement process involving, e.g., diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, as intermediate stages (Verbrugge and Jette, 1994). Interventions in diet, exercise, and other behaviors to prevent or reverse obesity may yield significant reductions in lifetime LTC disability. The efficacy of such interventions will likely depend on the ages at which the interventions are implemented. Interventions to prevent the development of obesity at middle ages could be especially effective.

REFERENCES

- Fleiss, J.L. *Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions*. Wiley, New York, 1981.
- Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Long-Term Care Services and Insurance. IRS Notice 97-31, pp. 5–8 in Bulletin No. 1997–21, Internal Revenue Service, May 27, 1977.
- Lakdawalla, D.N., Goldman, D.P., and Shang, B. The health and cost consequences of obesity among the future elderly. *Health Affairs* 24(Supp. 2):W5-R30–W5-R41, 2005.
- Manton, K.G., Gu, X, and Lamb, V.L. Change in chronic disability from 1982 to 2004/2005 as measured by long-term changes in function and health in the U.S. elderly population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.*, 103(48):18374–18379, 2006.
- Potthoff, R.F., Woodbury, M.A. and Manton, K.G. “Equivalent sample size” and “equivalent degrees of freedom” refinements for inference using survey weights under superpopulation models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 87(418):383–396, 1992.
- Reynolds, S.L., Saito, Y., and Crimmins, E.M. The impact of obesity on active life expectancy in older American men and women. *The Gerontologist* 45(4):438–444, 2005.
- Social Security Administration (SSA). *Period Life Table, 2004*. Social Security Online Actuarial Publications, 2008. Available online at

<<http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/STATS/table4c6.html>>. Retrieved August 13, 2008.

Stallard, E: Aging: Long Term Care. In the International Encyclopedia of Public Health (H. Kristian Heggenhougen and Stella Quah, Eds.), Vol. 4. Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 114–126, 2008.

Stallard, E., and Yee, R.K. Non-Insured Home and Community-Based Long-Term Care Incidence and Continuance Tables. Actuarial Report issued by the Long-Term Care Experience Committee, Society of Actuaries, Schaumburg, IL, 2000.

Stern, Y., Albert, S.M., Sano, M., Richards, M., Miller, L., Folstein, M., Albert, M., Bylsma, F.W., and Lafleche, G. Assessing patient dependence in Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Gerontology: Medical Sciences*, 49(5):M216–M222, 1994.

Stern, Y., Liu, X., Albert, M., Brandt, J., Jacobs, D.M., Castillo-Castaneda, C.D., Marder, K., Bell, K., Sano, M., Bylsma, F.W., Lafleche, G., and Tsai, W.Y. Application of a growth curve approach to modeling the progression of Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Gerontology: Medical Sciences*, 51A(4):M179–M184, 1996.

Sullivan, D.F. A single index of mortality and morbidity. *HSMHA Reports* 86(4):347-354, 1971.

Wolf, D.A., Hunt, K., and Knickman, J. Perspectives on the recent decline in disability at older ages. *The Milbank Quarterly* 83(3):365–395, 2005.

Verbrugge, L.M., and Jette, A.M. The Disablement Process. *Social Science and Medicine*, 38(1):1–14, 1994.

Table 1. Number and Percent of Persons Meeting Either HIPAA Trigger, United States 2004 Detailed Community Interview, Age 65 and Above, by Sex and Current BMI, with Age-Adjusted Odds Ratios for BMI Groups

Current BMI	Meets Either HIPAA Trigger		Total	Percent Yes	Std Error (Pct)	Age-Adjusted		Reweighted	
	No	Yes				Odds Ratio (AAOR)	Std Error (AAOR)	Adjusted Odds Ratio	Std Error
	Unisex								
<18.5	192,020	172,374	364,394	47.3%	4.3%	2.56	0.47	2.53	0.74
18.5–24.9	3,014,852	957,141	3,971,994	24.1%	1.1%	1.00	0.09	1.00	0.15
25.0–29.9	2,984,653	555,621	3,540,275	15.7%	1.0%	0.67	0.07	0.76	0.13
30.0–34.9	1,166,473	280,057	1,446,530	19.4%	1.7%	0.94	0.12	1.05	0.26
35.0+	583,016	157,483	740,499	21.3%	2.5%	1.18	0.20	1.52	0.49
Weighted Total	7,941,014	2,122,678	10,063,692	21.1%	0.7%				
Unweighted Total	3,671	1,264	4,935	25.6%	0.6%				
	Male								
<18.5	39,295	39,039	78,334	49.8%	9.3%	3.07	1.34	4.30	3.05
18.5–24.9	1,119,696	350,096	1,469,792	23.8%	1.8%	1.00	0.14	1.00	0.26
25.0–29.9	1,409,880	254,064	1,663,944	15.3%	1.4%	0.64	0.10	0.82	0.24
30.0–34.9	408,837	85,913	494,750	17.4%	2.8%	0.75	0.17	0.75	0.34
35.0+	151,277	39,398	190,675	20.7%	4.8%	1.01	0.32	1.01	0.66
Weighted Total	3,128,985	768,510	3,897,495	19.7%	1.0%				
Unweighted Total	1,398	400	1,798	22.2%	1.0%				
	Female								
<18.5	152,725	133,335	286,060	46.6%	4.8%	2.38	0.51	2.14	0.71
18.5–24.9	1,895,156	607,046	2,502,202	24.3%	1.4%	1.00	0.11	1.00	0.18
25.0–29.9	1,574,774	301,557	1,876,331	16.1%	1.4%	0.68	0.09	0.72	0.16
30.0–34.9	757,636	194,144	951,780	20.4%	2.1%	1.07	0.17	1.20	0.35
35.0+	431,739	118,085	549,824	21.5%	2.9%	1.33	0.28	1.78	0.70
Weighted Total	4,812,030	1,354,167	6,166,197	22.0%	0.9%				
Unweighted Total	2,273	864	3,137	27.5%	0.8%				

Source: Author's calculations based on 2004 NLTCs.

Table 2. Number and Percent of Persons Meeting Either HIPAA Trigger, United States 2004 Detailed Community Interview, Age 65 and Above, by Sex and 1-Year BMI, with Age-Adjusted Odds Ratios for BMI Groups

1-Year BMI	Meets Either HIPAA Trigger		Total	Percent Yes	Std Error (Pct)	Age-Adjusted Odds Ratio	Std Error (AAOR)	Reweighted	
	No	Yes						Age-Adjusted Odds Ratio	Std Error
	Unisex								
<18.5	201,627	122,333	323,960	37.8%	4.4%	1.75	0.35	1.71	0.56
18.5-24.9	2,866,084	883,056	3,749,140	23.6%	1.1%	1.00	0.09	1.00	0.15
25.0-29.9	2,932,417	620,554	3,552,971	17.5%	1.0%	0.78	0.08	0.86	0.15
30.0-34.9	1,222,237	253,412	1,475,649	17.2%	1.6%	0.83	0.11	0.95	0.23
35.0+	595,934	172,128	768,063	22.4%	2.5%	1.33	0.22	1.73	0.56
Weighted Total	7,818,300	2,051,484	9,869,783	20.8%	0.7%				
Unweighted Total	3,609	1,212	4,821	25.1%	0.6%				
	Male								
<18.5	36,361	22,143	58,504	37.8%	10.4%	1.86	0.96	2.26	1.90
18.5-24.9	1,070,298	316,589	1,386,887	22.8%	1.8%	1.00	0.15	1.00	0.27
25.0-29.9	1,359,755	258,156	1,617,911	16.0%	1.5%	0.71	0.11	0.92	0.27
30.0-34.9	467,614	87,002	554,616	15.7%	2.5%	0.68	0.15	0.66	0.30
35.0+	154,328	50,156	204,484	24.5%	4.9%	1.33	0.41	1.50	0.95
Weighted Total	3,088,356	734,046	3,822,401	19.2%	1.0%				
Unweighted Total	1,378	380	1,758	21.6%	1.0%				
	Female								
<18.5	165,266	100,190	265,456	37.7%	4.9%	1.70	0.39	1.49	0.55
18.5-24.9	1,795,786	566,467	2,362,254	24.0%	1.4%	1.00	0.12	1.00	0.19
25.0-29.9	1,572,662	362,398	1,935,060	18.7%	1.5%	0.83	0.11	0.84	0.18
30.0-34.9	754,624	166,410	921,034	18.1%	2.1%	0.92	0.16	1.08	0.32
35.0+	441,607	121,972	563,579	21.6%	2.8%	1.42	0.29	1.84	0.73
Weighted Total	4,729,944	1,317,438	6,047,382	21.8%	0.9%				
Unweighted Total	2,231	832	3,063	27.2%	0.8%				

Source: Author's calculations based on 2004 NLTCs.

Table 3. Number and Percent of Persons Meeting Either HIPAA Trigger, United States 2004 Detailed Community Interview, Age 65 and Above, by Sex and Age 50 BMI, with Age-Adjusted Odds Ratios for BMI Groups

Age 50 BMI	Meets Either HIPAA Trigger		Total	Percent Yes	Std Error (Pct)	Age-Adjusted Odds Ratio	Std Error (AAOR)	Reweighted	
	No	Yes						Age-Adjusted Odds Ratio	Std Error
	Unisex								
<18.5	99,939	46,036	145,976	31.5%	6.3%	2.18	0.67	2.59	1.32
18.5–24.9	3,534,694	745,531	4,280,225	17.4%	1.0%	1.00	0.09	1.00	0.16
25.0–29.9	2,778,085	632,292	3,410,376	18.5%	1.1%	1.13	0.11	1.23	0.22
30.0–34.9	723,276	236,864	960,140	24.7%	2.3%	1.73	0.25	2.39	0.65
35.0+	298,065	144,346	442,411	32.6%	3.7%	2.76	0.52	3.96	1.36
Weighted Total	7,434,058	1,805,069	9,239,128	19.5%	0.7%				
Unweighted Total	3,433	1,057	4,490	23.5%	0.6%				
	Male								
<18.5	18,464	9,131	27,595	33.1%	14.7%	2.43	1.71	2.96	3.80
18.5–24.9	1,195,848	239,416	1,435,264	16.7%	1.6%	1.00	0.17	1.00	0.30
25.0–29.9	1,367,330	274,900	1,642,230	16.7%	1.5%	1.07	0.17	1.16	0.35
30.0–34.9	298,726	109,294	408,019	26.8%	3.6%	2.05	0.47	2.45	1.06
35.0+	78,694	35,517	114,211	31.1%	7.1%	2.53	0.93	4.76	3.31
Weighted Total	2,959,062	668,259	3,627,320	18.4%	1.1%				
Unweighted Total	1,323	348	1,671	20.8%	1.0%				
	Female								
<18.5	81,475	36,905	118,380	31.2%	7.0%	2.12	0.72	2.43	1.36
18.5–24.9	2,338,846	506,115	2,844,961	17.8%	1.2%	1.00	0.12	1.00	0.20
25.0–29.9	1,410,755	367,391	1,768,146	20.2%	1.6%	1.18	0.15	1.32	0.29
30.0–34.9	424,551	127,570	552,121	23.1%	2.9%	1.49	0.29	2.34	0.83
35.0+	219,370	108,829	328,200	33.2%	4.3%	2.88	0.64	3.72	1.49
Weighted Total	4,474,997	1,136,810	5,611,807	20.3%	0.9%				
Unweighted Total	2,110	709	2,819	25.2%	0.8%				

Source: Author's calculations based on 2004 NLTCs.

Table 4. Number and Percent of Persons who Died within One Year after the NLTCS, United States 2004 Detailed Community Interview, Age 65 and Above, by Sex and Current BMI, with Age-Adjusted Odds Ratios for BMI Groups

Current BMI	Status 1 Year after NLTCS			Percent Dead	Std Error (Pct)	Age-Adjusted		Reweighted	
	Survived	Died	Total			Odds Ratio (AAOR)	Std Error (AAOR)	Adjusted Odds Ratio	Std Error
	Unisex								
<18.5	281,096	83,298	364,394	22.9%	3.6%	2.20	0.49	2.40	0.82
18.5–24.9	3,533,896	438,098	3,971,994	11.0%	0.8%	1.00	0.12	1.00	0.17
25.0–29.9	3,356,328	183,947	3,540,275	5.2%	0.6%	0.51	0.08	0.58	0.13
30.0–34.9	1,382,492	64,039	1,446,530	4.4%	0.9%	0.44	0.10	0.55	0.18
35.0+	712,653	27,846	740,499	3.8%	1.1%	0.41	0.14	0.24	0.17
Weighted Total	9,266,464	797,228	10,063,692	7.9%	0.4%				
Unweighted Total	4,458	477	4,935	9.7%	0.4%				
	Male								
<18.5	52,060	26,274	78,334	33.5%	8.8%	2.72	1.16	1.86	1.46
18.5–24.9	1,243,723	226,069	1,469,792	15.4%	1.5%	1.00	0.17	1.00	0.23
25.0–29.9	1,549,922	114,022	1,663,944	6.9%	1.0%	0.47	0.10	0.55	0.17
30.0–34.9	461,237	33,513	494,750	6.8%	1.9%	0.46	0.15	0.61	0.27
35.0+	177,812	12,863	190,675	6.7%	3.0%	0.47	0.24	0.21	0.22
Weighted Total	3,484,754	412,741	3,897,495	10.6%	0.8%				
Unweighted Total	1,585	213	1,798	11.8%	0.8%				
	Female								
<18.5	229,036	57,024	286,060	19.9%	3.9%	2.36	0.64	3.08	1.21
18.5–24.9	2,290,173	212,029	2,502,202	8.5%	0.9%	1.00	0.17	1.00	0.25
25.0–29.9	1,806,406	69,925	1,876,331	3.7%	0.7%	0.48	0.11	0.49	0.18
30.0–34.9	921,254	30,526	951,780	3.2%	0.9%	0.45	0.16	0.47	0.28
35.0+	534,841	14,983	549,824	2.7%	1.1%	0.48	0.22	0.33	0.34
Weighted Total	5,781,710	384,487	6,166,197	6.2%	0.5%				
Unweighted Total	2,873	264	3,137	8.4%	0.5%				

Source: Author's calculations based on 2004 NLTCS.

Table 5. Number and Percent of Persons who Died within One Year after the NLTCS, United States 2004 Detailed Community Interview, Age 65 and Above, by Sex and 1-Year BMI, with Age-Adjusted Odds Ratios for BMI Groups

1-Year BMI	Status 1 Year after NLTCS			Percent Dead	Std Error (Pct)	Age-Adjusted		Reweighted	
	Survived	Died	Total			Odds Ratio (AAOR)	Std Error (AAOR)	Adjusted Odds Ratio	Std Error
	Unisex								
<18.5	267,634	56,326	323,960	17.4%	3.5%	1.70	0.44	1.56	0.65
18.5–24.9	3,371,269	377,871	3,749,140	10.1%	0.8%	1.00	0.13	1.00	0.18
25.0–29.9	3,321,943	231,028	3,552,971	6.5%	0.7%	0.70	0.10	0.81	0.17
30.0–34.9	1,409,856	65,794	1,475,649	4.5%	0.9%	0.50	0.12	0.53	0.19
35.0+	725,502	42,561	768,063	5.5%	1.4%	0.70	0.20	0.58	0.30
Weighted Total	9,096,204	773,579	9,869,783	7.8%	0.4%				
Unweighted Total	4,365	456	4,821	9.5%	0.4%				
	Male								
<18.5	45,785	12,719	58,504	21.7%	8.8%	1.75	1.14	1.39	1.41
18.5–24.9	1,210,817	176,069	1,386,887	12.7%	1.5%	1.00	0.19	1.00	0.26
25.0–29.9	1,463,438	154,473	1,617,911	9.5%	1.2%	0.82	0.17	0.95	0.27
30.0–34.9	519,417	35,199	554,616	6.3%	1.7%	0.53	0.17	0.62	0.29
35.0+	186,080	18,404	204,484	9.0%	3.3%	0.80	0.35	0.62	0.46
Weighted Total	3,425,537	396,865	3,822,401	10.4%	0.8%				
Unweighted Total	1,554	204	1,758	11.6%	0.8%				
	Female								
<18.5	221,850	43,606	265,456	16.4%	3.7%	1.86	0.56	1.71	0.83
18.5–24.9	2,160,452	201,802	2,362,254	8.5%	0.9%	1.00	0.17	1.00	0.26
25.0–29.9	1,858,506	76,555	1,935,060	4.0%	0.7%	0.49	0.12	0.51	0.18
30.0–34.9	890,439	30,595	921,034	3.3%	1.0%	0.47	0.16	0.39	0.23
35.0+	539,422	24,157	563,579	4.3%	1.4%	0.79	0.30	0.65	0.48
Weighted Total	5,670,668	376,714	6,047,382	6.2%	0.5%				
Unweighted Total	2,811	252	3,063	8.2%	0.5%				

Source: Author's calculations based on 2004 NLTCS.

Table 6. Number and Percent of Persons who Died within One Year after the NLTCS, United States 2004 Detailed Community Interview, Age 65 and Above, by Sex and Age 50 BMI, with Age-Adjusted Odds Ratios for BMI Groups

Age 50 BMI	Status 1 Year after NLTCS			Percent Dead	Std Error (Pct)	Age-Adjusted Odds Ratio (AAOR)	Std Error (AAOR)	Reweighted	
	Survived	Died	Total					Age-Adjusted Odds Ratio	Std Error
	Unisex								
<18.5	134,863	11,113	145,976	7.6%	3.6%	1.09	0.58	0.67	0.68
18.5-24.9	3,984,241	295,983	4,280,225	6.9%	0.6%	1.00	0.14	1.00	0.20
25.0-29.9	3,131,086	279,290	3,410,376	8.2%	0.8%	1.26	0.18	1.25	0.26
30.0-34.9	887,125	73,014	960,140	7.6%	1.4%	1.21	0.28	1.27	0.48
35.0+	389,234	53,177	442,411	12.0%	2.5%	2.16	0.58	1.80	0.89
Weighted Total	8,526,549	712,578	9,239,128	7.7%	0.5%				
Unweighted Total	4,075	415	4,490	9.2%	0.4%				
	Male								
<18.5	23,650	3,946	27,595	14.3%	10.9%	1.46	1.40	1.11	1.97
18.5-24.9	1,287,448	147,816	1,435,264	10.3%	1.3%	1.00	0.20	1.00	0.27
25.0-29.9	1,477,520	164,711	1,642,230	10.0%	1.2%	1.05	0.21	1.12	0.32
30.0-34.9	364,729	43,290	408,019	10.6%	2.5%	1.14	0.35	1.12	0.56
35.0+	99,027	15,185	114,211	13.3%	5.2%	1.54	0.76	0.97	0.97
Weighted Total	3,252,374	374,947	3,627,320	10.3%	0.8%				
Unweighted Total	1,480	191	1,671	11.4%	0.8%				
	Female								
<18.5	111,213	7,167	118,380	6.1%	3.6%	1.17	0.78	0.72	0.92
18.5-24.9	2,696,793	148,168	2,844,961	5.2%	0.7%	1.00	0.20	1.00	0.29
25.0-29.9	1,653,566	114,580	1,768,146	6.5%	1.0%	1.27	0.27	1.09	0.36
30.0-34.9	522,397	29,724	552,121	5.4%	1.6%	1.13	0.39	1.21	0.74
35.0+	290,207	37,993	328,200	11.6%	2.9%	2.91	1.00	2.60	1.51
Weighted Total	5,274,176	337,632	5,611,807	6.0%	0.5%				
Unweighted Total	2,595	224	2,819	7.9%	0.5%				

Source: Author's calculations based on 2004 NLTCS.

Table 7. Alternative Estimates of Components of Life Expectancy at Age 70 (in Years) by Sex and BMI Classification at Age 70

Component	Sex and BMI Classification at Age 70					
	Male			Female		
	Not Obese	Obese		Not Obese	Obese	
	<30.0	30.0+		<30.0	30.0+	
Disability-free	9.8	8.4		10.5	8.1	
1+ ADLs/Inst	2.5	4.0		4.8	7.4	
Total	12.3	12.4		15.3	15.5	
1+ ADLs/Inst (%)	20.3%	32.3%		31.4%	47.7%	

Source: Table 2 in Reynolds, S.L., Saito, Y., and Crimmins, E.M. The impact of obesity on active life expectancy in older American men and women. *The Gerontologist* 45(4):438-444, 2005.

Table 8. Components of Life Expectancy at Age 70 (in Years) by Weight Status at Age 70

Component	Weight Status and BMI Classification at Age 70			
	Underweight <20.0	Normal 20.0–24.9	Overweight 25.0–29.9	Obese 30.0+
Disability-free	5.80	6.75	6.63	4.02
1–2 ADLs	3.09	4.40	4.87	5.23
3+ ADLs	1.66	1.42	2.02	3.80
Institutionalized	1.55	1.19	0.96	0.83
Total	12.10	13.76	14.48	13.88
1+ ADLs/Inst	6.30	7.01	7.85	9.86
1+ ADLs/Inst (%)	52.1%	50.9%	54.2%	71.0%
3+ ADLs/Inst	3.21	2.61	2.98	4.63
3+ ADLs/Inst (%)	26.5%	19.0%	20.6%	33.4%

Source: Author's calculations based on Exhibit 2 in Lakdawalla, D.N., Goldman, D.P., and Shang, B. The health and cost consequences of obesity among the future elderly. *Health Affairs* 24(Supp. 2):W5-R30–W5-R41, 2005.